

**Conservation Activity Evaluation Tool** 

CONSERVATION STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM

#### CSP-2017-1\_VT - 2017 Forestry\_Associated Ag Land

### **Soil Erosion**

#### **Sheet and Rill Erosion**

Planning Criteria	Planning Criteria Met	
Screening level: Permanent ground cover $> 90\%$ and slope $< 10\%$ . Assessment level: The water erosion rate is $<= T$ .	Yes	No
<b>Evaluation Tests</b>	Evaluation '	Test Met
All temporary or permanent rills and gullies are stabilized. All areas expected to have high erosion rates are stable.	Yes	No 🗌
All non-traffic areas are vegetated.	Yes	No 🗌
The areas integrated with trees are covered with leaves, needles, fine woody debris, rocks, and/or herbaceous vegetation that protects the soil on more than 90 percent of the area.	Yes	No 🗌
Wind Erosion		
Planning Criteria	Planning C	riteria Met
Screening level: Permanent ground cover $> 90\%$ and slope $< 10\%$ . Assessment level: The wind erosion rate is $<= T$ .	Yes	No
<b>Evaluation Tests</b>	Evaluation '	Test Met
All non-traffic areas are vegetated.	Yes	No 🗌
All temporary or permanent rills and gullies are stabilized. All areas expected to have high erosion rates are stable.	Yes	No



**Conservation Activity Evaluation Tool** 

CONSERVATION STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM

#### CSP-2017-1\_VT - 2017 Forestry\_Associated Ag Land

#### **Classic Gully Erosion**

Planning Criteria	<b>Planning C</b>	riteria Met
Screening level: Classic gullies are not present. Assessment level: Classic gully management is adequate to stop the progression of head cutting and widening and are offsite impacts are minimized by vegetation and/or structures.	Yes	No
<b>Evaluation Tests</b>	Evaluation	Test Met
All temporary or permanent rills and gullies are stabilized. All areas expected to have high erosion rates are stable.	Yes	No 🗌
Soil erosion in areas integrated with trees is controlled. There are no impacts on sensitive vegetation. There are no occurrences or enlargement of gullies.	Yes	No
reambank, Shoreline, Water Conveyance Channels		
Planning Criteria	Planning C	riteria Met
Screening level: Streams, shoreline or channels are not adjacent to site.	Yes	No
Assessment level: For shorelines and water conveyance channels; banks are stable or commensurate with normal geomorphological processes, AND if bank erosion is present, it is beyond the client's control or commensurate with normal geomorphological processes, AND for streambanks, SVAP2 bank condition element score > 5.		
banks are stable or commensurate with normal geomorphological processes, AND if bank erosion is present, it is beyond the client's control or commensurate with normal geomorphological processes,	Evaluation	Test Met



**Conservation Activity Evaluation Tool** 

CONSERVATION STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM

#### CSP-2017-1\_VT - 2017 Forestry\_Associated Ag Land

## **Soil Quality Degradation**

#### **Organic Matter Depletion**

Planning Criteria	Planning C	Planning Criteria Met	
Screening level: Soil organic matter depletion is not a problem ANI activities do not cause soil organic matter depletion. Assessment leve Ground cover meets state criteria specific to ecological site.	100	No	
<b>Evaluation Tests</b>	Evaluation	Test Met	
The areas integrated with trees are covered with leaves, needles, fine woody debris, rocks, and/or herbaceous vegetation that protects the soil on more than 80 percent of the area. The topsoil is not displaced Woody residue is being added to the forest floor through branch breakage and treefalls.		No	
Compaction			
Planning Criteria	Planning C	Criteria Met	
Screening level: Soil compaction is not a problem AND activities do not cause soil compaction problems. Assessment level: Compaction managed to meet client's production and management objectives.		No	
<b>Evaluation Tests</b>	Evaluation	Test Met	
Soil compaction is limited to roads and landings. Tree root growth i not impeded. No more than 15 percent of the forested area is devote to roads, trails, and landings.		No 🗌	



**Conservation Activity Evaluation Tool** 

CONSERVATION STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM

#### CSP-2017-1\_VT - 2017 Forestry\_Associated Ag Land

#### **Excess Water**

<u>Seeps</u>		
Planning Criteria	Planning Cr	riteria Met
Screening level: Excess water from seeps does not cause a problem. Assessment level: Excess water is managed to meet client's objective.	Yes	No
<b>Evaluation Tests</b>	<b>Evaluation</b> 7	Γest Met
Excess water seepage is controlled to the point that is does not restrict land use or management goals.	Yes	No 🗌
Runoff and Flooding and Ponding		
Planning Criteria	Planning Cr	riteria Met
Screening level: Ponding or flooding not a problem AND activities do not cause ponding/flooding problems. Assessment level: Excess water is managed to meet client's objectives.	Yes	No 🗌
<b>Evaluation Tests</b>	Evaluation 7	Гest Met
Water runoff from hard surfaces, such as building roofs, is controlled to the point that is does not cause erosion or large streams of water.	Yes	No
Seasonal High Water Table		
Planning Criteria	Planning Cr	riteria Met
Screening level: Seasonal high water table does not cause a problem. Assessment level: Excess water is managed to meet client's objectives.	Yes	No
<b>Evaluation Tests</b>	<b>Evaluation</b> 7	Гest Met
Forest management controls the soil moisture levels such that cyclical	Yes	No 🗌

water table changes are not extreme.



**Conservation Activity Evaluation Tool** 

CONSERVATION STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM

#### CSP-2017-1\_VT - 2017 Forestry\_Associated Ag Land

#### **Drifted Snow**

Planning Criteria	Planning Co	riteria Met
Screening level: Drifted snow does not cause a problem. Assessment level: Excess water is managed to meet client's objectives.	Yes	No
<b>Evaluation Tests</b>	<b>Evaluation Test Met</b>	
Drifted snow is not a concern in this climate or measures are applied to avoid snow drifts on crops that may be harmed.	Yes	No 🗌



**Conservation Activity Evaluation Tool** 

CONSERVATION STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM

#### CSP-2017-1\_VT - 2017 Forestry\_Associated Ag Land

### **Insufficient Water**

#### **Inefficient Moisture Management**

Planning Criteria	Planning Cr	riteria Met
Screening level: Moisture management is not a problem AND activities do not cause inefficient moisture management problems.  Assessment level: Runoff and evapotranspiration levels are minimized to meet client's management objectives.	Yes	No 🗌
<b>Evaluation Tests</b>	<b>Evaluation</b> 7	Гest Met
Management choices include actions to limit moisture loss. For example, maintaining shade, retaining the forest litter layer, and maintaining correct stocking levels.	Yes	No 🗌



**Conservation Activity Evaluation Tool** 

CONSERVATION STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM

#### CSP-2017-1\_VT - 2017 Forestry\_Associated Ag Land

## **Water Quality Degradation**

#### **Nutrients in Surface Water**

Planning Criteria	Planning Cri	teria Met
Screening level: Organic or inorganic nutrients are not applied AND the PLU is not grazed AND there are no confined livestock areas. Assessment level: Nutrients if applied, are based on a soil test, tissue tests or nutrient budget AND conservation practices and managements are in place to minimize surface water impacts.	Yes	No
<b>Evaluation Tests</b>	<b>Evaluation T</b>	est Met
The land adjacent to a stream, river, or other waterbody on the side or sides you control does: - have diverse, natural plant cover typical to that along streams in your area, - extend from the stream bank/shoreline for a distance of 35 feet or (if applicable) the minimum State buffer-width requirement, whichever is greater, AND - have few places where concentrated runoff flows through.	Yes	No
Livestock access to stream is controlled OR limited to small watering or crossing areas.	Yes	No
Filter strips that are at least 30 feet wide are established and maintained	Yes	No 🗌



**Conservation Activity Evaluation Tool** 

CONSERVATION STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM

# <u>CSP-2017-1\_VT - 2017 Forestry\_Associated Ag Land</u> <u>Excess Pathogens and Chemicals from Manure, Bio-solids or Compost Applications in Surface Water</u>

Planning Criteria	Planning Crit	teria Met		
Screening level: Potential sources of pathogens or pharmaceuticals are not applied on the land. Assessment level: Organic materials are applied, stored, and/or handled to mitigate negative impacts to surface water sources.	Yes	No		
<b>Evaluation Tests</b>	<b>Evaluation To</b>	est Met		
Filter strips that are at least 30 feet wide are established and maintained.	Yes	No 🗌		
Livestock access to stream is controlled OR limited to small watering or crossing areas.	Yes	No		
etroleum, Heavy Metal and Other Pollutants Transported to Surface Water				
Planning Criteria	Planning Crit	teria Met		
Screening level: Activities do not present the potential for contamination by petroleum, heavy metals and other pollutants. Assessment level: Petroleum, heavy metals or other potential pollutants are stored and handled to avoid runoff to surface water.	Yes	No		
<b>Evaluation Tests</b>	Evaluation To	est Met		
The fuel storage area and tank is located: - above the 100-year floodplain, - a minimum of 100 feet from any river, stream, ditch, pond, lake, sinkhole, wetland, or water well, and - within a stable place designed to provide secondary containment if the primary means were to fail.	Yes	No		



**Conservation Activity Evaluation Tool** 

CONSERVATION STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM

# <u>CSP-2017-1\_VT - 2017 Forestry\_Associated Ag Land</u> Petroleum, Heavy Metal and Other Pollutants Transported to Ground Water

Planning Criteria	<b>Planning Crit</b>	eria Met
Screening level: Activities do not present the potential for contamination by petroleum, heavy metals and other pollutants. Assessment level: Petroleum, heavy metals or other potential pollutants are stored and handled to avoid runoff to groundwater.	Yes	No
<b>Evaluation Tests</b>	<b>Evaluation Te</b>	est Met
The fuel storage area and tank is located: - above the 100-year floodplain, - a minimum of 100 feet from any river, stream, ditch, pond, lake, sinkhole, wetland, or water well, and - within a stable place designed to provide secondary containment if the primary means were to fail.	Yes	No
Excessive Sediment in Surface Water		
Planning Criteria	<b>Planning Crit</b>	eria Met
Screening level: Permanent ground cover > 90% and slope < 10% AND classic gullies are not present AND streams or shoreline are not on or adjacent to site. Assessment level: Upslope treatment and buffer practices address concentrated flows to water bodies AND the SVAP2 - bank condition >= 5 AND the livestock and vehicle water crossings are stable AND The water erosion rate is <= T AND wind erosion rate is <= T.	Yes	No
<b>Evaluation Tests</b>	<b>Evaluation Te</b>	est Met
The land adjacent to a stream, river, or other waterbody on the side or sides you control does: - have diverse, natural plant cover typical to that along streams in your area, - extend from the stream bank/shoreline for a distance of 35 feet or (if applicable) the minimum State buffer-width requirement, whichever is greater, AND - have few places where concentrated runoff flows through.	Yes	No
Established filter strips are at least 30 feet wide and maintained.	Yes	No 🗌
All temporary or permanent rills and gullies are stabilized. All areas expected to have high erosion rates are stable.	Yes	No



**Conservation Activity Evaluation Tool** 

CONSERVATION STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM

#### CSP-2017-1\_VT - 2017 Forestry\_Associated Ag Land

#### **Elevated Water Temperature**

Planning Criteria	Planning Criteria Met	
Screening level: Water courses on or adjacent to the designated by a State Agency as a temperature improved temperature is not a client concern. Assess SVAP2 - riparian area quality element score is >= - riparian area quantity quality element score is >= - canopy cover element score is >= 6, OR existing practices are in place to address water temperature	pairment OR water ment level: The 5 AND the SVAP2 5 AND the SVAP2 conservation	
<b>Evaluation Tests</b>	<b>Evaluation Test Met</b>	
More than 50 percent of the water surface is shade the stream/river you control.	d on the length of Yes No	



**Conservation Activity Evaluation Tool** 

CONSERVATION STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM

#### CSP-2017-1\_VT - 2017 Forestry\_Associated Ag Land

## **Air Quality Impacts**

#### **Emissions of Particulate Matter (PM) and PM Precursors**

Planning Criteria	Planning Cri	teria Met	
Screening level: Activities are not present that contribute to agricultural source PM or PM precursor emissions AND episodes or complaints of emissions of PM (dust, smoke, exhaust, etc.), or chemical drift have not occurred. PM producing activity examples are: Prescribed Burn is conducted, Travel ways unpaved or treated with binding agents, Engines (combustion source), Tillage, Pesticides are applied, Fertilization (manure/ commercial), CAFO/manure management). Assessment level: PM and PM Precursor emmissions are managed to meet client objectives.	Yes	No	
<b>Evaluation Tests</b>	<b>Evaluation T</b>	'est Met	
Dust is controlled on all non-vegetated, unpaved travel ways.	Yes	No 🗌	
Hedges or rows of trees/large shrubs are established that reduce and intercept air borne particulate matter.	Yes	No	
Existing windbreak(s)/shelterbelt(s) function has been improved or restored.	Yes	No 🗌	



**Conservation Activity Evaluation Tool** 

CONSERVATION STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM

#### CSP-2017-1\_VT - 2017 Forestry\_Associated Ag Land

#### **Emissions of Ozone Precursors**

	Planning Criteria	<b>Planning Crite</b>	eria Met
	Screening level: Operations are not present that produce ozone precursor emissions. Ozone precursor producing activities are: Engines (combustion source), Pesticide application, Burning, CAFO/manure management, Fertilization (manure/commercial). Assessment level: Ozone precursor emmissions are managed to meet client objectives.	Yes	No
	<b>Evaluation Tests</b>	<b>Evaluation Te</b>	st Met
	Energy-efficient vehicles, equipment, and actions are used to lessen discharges of NOx and SOx. For example, using the minimum level of equipment needed to accomplish the activity, minimizing number of trips into the forest, and leaving woody residue in place if not a fire or pest hazard.	Yes	No
<u>E</u> 1	mission of Greenhouse Gases (GHGs)		
	Planning Criteria	Planning Crite	eria Met
	Screening level: Activities are not present that produce GHGs emissions. GHG producing activities are: Fertilization(manure/commercial), CAFO/manure management, Engines (combustion source), Tillage, AND GHGs are not regulated in this planning area. Assessment level: Greenhouse gas emmissions are managed to meet client objectives.	Yes	No
	<b>Evaluation Tests</b>	<b>Evaluation Te</b>	st Met
	The forest or woodlot is fully stocked with tree species adapted to the site. Species have high-growth rates or long life span with the ability to reach a large size.	Yes	No
	Energy-efficient vehicles, equipment, and actions are used to lessen discharges of NOx and SOx. For example, using the minimum level of equipment needed to accomplish the activity, minimizing number of trips into the forest, and leaving woody residue in place if not a fire or pest hazard.	Yes	No



**Conservation Activity Evaluation Tool** 

CONSERVATION STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM

#### CSP-2017-1\_VT - 2017 Forestry\_Associated Ag Land

## **Degraded Plant Condition**

#### **Undesirable Plant Productivity and Health**

Planning Criteria	Planning Crit	eria Met
Screening level: Plant production and health is not a client concern. Assessment level: Plants are adapted to the site, meet production goals and do not negatively impact other resources AND plant damage from wind erosion is below Crop Damage Tolerance levels.	Yes	No
<b>Evaluation Tests</b>	Evaluation To	est Met
The forest or woodlot is fully stocked with tree species adapted to the site, has spacing for good tree growth and air flow between and beneath, does not have excessive tree mortality, has an understory made up of desirable species and is not inhibited by brush or other undesirable vegetation. Monitoring for Insects and disease is completed to prevent outbreaks that would be detrimental to forest health.	Yes	No



**Conservation Activity Evaluation Tool** 

CONSERVATION STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM

#### CSP-2017-1\_VT - 2017 Forestry\_Associated Ag Land

#### **Inadequate Structure and Composition**

Planning Criteria	Planning Cr	iteria Met
Screening level: Plant communities support the intended land use and desired ecological functions. Assessment level: Plant communities contain adequate diversity, composition and structure to support desired ecological functions.	Yes	No
<b>Evaluation Tests</b>	<b>Evaluation T</b>	Test Met
The current plants provide the desired habitat structure and composition.	Yes	No 🗌
The operation has a sugarbush. Seventy percent or more of the sugarbush canopy trees are sugar maples. Canopy trees are those tall enough that their tops are is in direct sunlight.	Yes	No 🗌
Plant growth and cover is managed to develop and maintain habitat to help plant diversity.	Yes	No 🗌
The forest or woodlot is fully tocked with tree species adapted to the site, has spacing for good tree growth and air flow between and beneath, does not have excessive tree mortality, has an understory made up of desirable species and is not inhibited by brush or other undesirable vegetation	Yes	No



**Conservation Activity Evaluation Tool** 

CONSERVATION STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM

## CSP-2017-1\_VT - 2017 Forestry\_Associated Ag Land

#### **Excessive Plant Pest Pressure**

	Planning Criteria	Planning Criteria Met	
	Screening level: Plant productivity is not limited from pest pressure. Assessment level: Pest damage to plants are below economic or environmental thresholds or client-identified criteria AND plant pests, including noxious and invasive species are managed to meet client objectives.	Yes	No
	<b>Evaluation Tests</b>	Evaluation Te	est Met
	Invasive and noxious weeds are controlled or not present.	Yes	No
	Plant growth and cover is managed to develop and maintain early successional habitat to help plant diversity.	Yes	No
	Trees are selected or planted that are tolerant of known damaging pests.	Yes	No
	The current plant composition prevents outbreak of non-desirable species.	Yes	No
W	ildfire Hazard, Excessive Biomass Accumulation		
	Planning Criteria	Planning Crit	eria Met
	Screening level: Wildfire hazards is not a concern. Assessment level: Fuel loads and fuel ladders are managed to provide defensible space and meet client objectives.	Yes	No
	<b>Evaluation Tests</b>	<b>Evaluation Test Met</b>	
	Fire risk to sensitive sites are controlled by treatment, removal or modification of vegetation, debris and detritus in a strip or area.	Yes	No
	A hazardous fuel reduction treatment has occurred or will occur.	Yes 🗍	No 🗌



**Conservation Activity Evaluation Tool** 

CONSERVATION STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM

#### CSP-2017-1\_VT - 2017 Forestry\_Associated Ag Land

## Fish and Wildlife - Inadequate Habitat

#### **Inadequate Habitat - Food**

Planning Criteria	Planning Criteria Met
Assessment level: The WHSI rating is >= 0.5 AND (when surface stream present) the SVAP2 - fish habitat complexity element score >= 7 AND the SVAP2 - aquatic invertebrate habitat element score >= 7, OR conservation practices and managements are in place the meet or exceed species or guild-specific habitat model thresholds, food is available in quality and extent to support habitat requirement for the species of interest.	re is e is at , OR
<b>Evaluation Tests</b>	<b>Evaluation Test Met</b>
Designated areas are planted as food and habitat for pollinators/beneficial insects. For example, planted to nectar and pollen producing plants and protected from disruptionchemical, biological, or mechanical.	Yes No
The land adjacent to a stream, river, or other waterbody on the sides you control does: - have diverse, natural plant cover typical that along streams in your area, AND - extend from the stream bank/shoreline for a distance of 35 feet or (if applicable) the minimum State buffer-width requirement, whichever is greater.	to
Existing plants provide food for the chosen declining, threatened, endangered wildlife species <see action="" plan="" state="" wildlife=""></see>	, or Yes No
Inadequate Habitat - Cover/Shelter	
Planning Criteria	Planning Criteria Met
Assessment level: The WHSI rating is >= 0.5 AND (when surface stream present) the SVAP2 - barriers to movement element score 7 AND the SVAP2 - fish habitat complexity element score is >= 7 AND the SVAP2 - aquatic invertebrate habitat element score is >= 0R conservation practices and managements are in place that mee exceed species or guild-specific habitat model thresholds, OR cov of available quality and extent to support habitat requirements for species of interest.	is >= 7 = 7, et or ver is



**Conservation Activity Evaluation Tool** 

CONSERVATION STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM

#### CSP-2017-1\_VT - 2017 Forestry\_Associated Ag Land

Evaluation Tests	Evaluation 1	est Met
The pond/lake, which supports a natural or planted fish population, is managed: -to exclude livestock, -to control nuisance species and undesirable aquatic vegetation controlled, -to complies with state and local regulations when stocking the pond, AND -use of a buffer zone of diverse, natural plant cover at least 35 feet wide.	Yes	No
Livestock access to stream is controlled OR limited to small watering or crossing areas	Yes	No
All stream banks show few signs of erosion or bank failure. Each is stable and protected with natural materials.	Yes	No
Plant growth provides cover/shelter that benefits threatened, endagered, or declining wildlife species. <see action="" plan="" state="" wildlife=""></see>	Yes	No 🗌
Dead and/or down trees are intentionally left in the forest to provide wildlife cover.	Yes	No 🗌
Large, old, and/or "wolf" trees are intentionally retained in the forest to provide wildlife shelter. For example, trees with gnarled appearance, loose bark, or cavities.	Yes	No
The forest or woodlot is fully stocked with tree species adapted to the site, has spacing for good tree growth and air flow between and beneath, does not have excessive tree mortality, has an understory made up of desirable species and is not inhibited by brush or other undesirable vegetation. Monitoring for Insects and disease is completed to prevent outbreaks that would be detrimental to forest health. Woody debris on the forest floor supports wildlife but does not present an elevated fire risk.	Yes	No
The stream(s) have: - a natural, unaltered configuration, with minimal channel straightening, dredging, or bank alteration by armoring with rip-rap or other non-natural materials, - stable banks with limited erosion or bank failure, and - human uses and/or grazing levels that do not negatively impact bank condition.	Yes	No
Designated areas are planted as food and habitat for pollinators/beneficial insects. For example, planted to nectar and pollen producing plants and protected from disruptionchemical, biological, or mechanical.	Yes	No



**Conservation Activity Evaluation Tool** 

CONSERVATION STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM

### CSP-2017-1\_VT - 2017 Forestry\_Associated Ag Land

#### **Inadequate Habitat - Water**

Planning Criteria	Planning Crit	eria Met	
Assessment level: The WHSI rating is $>= 0.5$ AND (when surface stream present) the SVAP2 - aquatic invertebrate habitat element score is $>= 7$ , OR conservation practices and managements are in place that meet or exceed species or guild-specific habitat model thresholds, OR water is available in quality and extent to support habitat requirements for the species of interest.	Yes	No	
<b>Evaluation Tests</b>	<b>Evaluation To</b>	est Met	
Plant cover provides access to water that is at the right height and/or depth for wildlife species.	Yes	No	
Access to water is at the right height, depth and time of year for wildlife species.	Yes	No	
Changes to water flow for irrigation or otherwise are limited to not	Yes	No 🗌	



**Conservation Activity Evaluation Tool** 

CONSERVATION STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM

#### CSP-2017-1\_VT - 2017 Forestry\_Associated Ag Land **Inadequate Habitat - Habitat Continuity (Space)**

Planning Criteria	Planning Cri	iteria Met
Assessment level: The WHSI rating is >= 0.5 AND (when surface stream present) the SVAP2 - barriers to movement element score is >= 7 AND the SVAP2 - aquatic invertebrate habitat element score is >= 7, OR conservation practices and managements are in place that meet or exceed species or guild-specific habitat model thresholds, OR The connectivity of habitat components are adequate to support stable populations of targeted species.	Yes	No
<b>Evaluation Tests</b>	<b>Evaluation T</b>	est Met
In-stream structures (dam, diversion structure, bridge, culvert, low-water stream crossing, etc.) allow for the upstream/downstream movement of fish and other aquatic animals throughout most of the year.	Yes	No
Designated areas are planted as habitat for pollinators/beneficial insects. Non-cropped area protected from disruption during nesting and foraging periodschemical, biological, or mechanical.	Yes	No
People, vehicles, equipment, or livestock are only moved across a stream/river at a bridge, culvert, or stabilized ford crossing(s). Travel across the stream/river beyond these crossings is controlled.	Yes	No
Plant growth and cover is managed to develop and maintain habitat to help chosen wildlife species. <see action="" plan="" state="" wildlife=""></see>	Yes	No
Connectivity between food resources and cover and shelter is provided for the chosen wildlife species. <see action="" plan="" state="" wildlife=""></see>	Yes	No 🗌



**Conservation Activity Evaluation Tool** 

CONSERVATION STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM

#### CSP-2017-1\_VT - 2017 Forestry\_Associated Ag Land

## **Livestock Production Limitation**

#### **Inadequate Feed and Forage**

	Planning Criteria	<b>Planning Crite</b>	eria Met
	Assessment level: When the land use has a "grazed" modifer, livestock forage, roughage and supplemental nutritional requirements addressed.	Yes	No
	<b>Evaluation Tests</b>	<b>Evaluation Te</b>	st Met
	The existing feed/forage quantity/quality meet the livestock needs and goals.	Yes	No
<u>In</u>	adequate Shelter		
	Planning Criteria	Planning Crite	eria Met
	Assessment level: When the land use has a "grazed" modifer, artificial or natural shelters meet animal health needs and client objectives.	Yes	No
	<b>Evaluation Tests</b>	<b>Evaluation Te</b>	st Met
	Evaluation Tests  Livestock has adequate shelter.	Yes	st Met  No
<u>In</u>			
<u>In</u>	Livestock has adequate shelter.		No 🗌
<u>In</u>	Livestock has adequate shelter.  adequate Water	Yes	No 🗌
<u>In</u>	Livestock has adequate shelter.  adequate Water  Planning Criteria  Assessment level: When the land use has a "grazed" modifer, water of acceptable quality and quantity adequately distributed to meet animal	Yes  Planning Crite	No  eria Met  No



**Conservation Activity Evaluation Tool** 

CONSERVATION STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM

#### CSP-2017-1\_VT - 2017 Forestry\_Associated Ag Land

## **Inefficient Energy Use**

#### **Equipment and Facilities**

Planning Criteria	<b>Planning Crit</b>	eria Met
Screening level: Client is not interested in improving equipment and facilities energy efficiency. Assessment level: Major components of a USDA approved energy audit have been implemented that address equipment and facilities to meet client objectives OR On-farm renewable energy and/or energy conserving practices have been implemented to meet client objectives.	Yes	No
<b>Evaluation Tests</b>	<b>Evaluation Te</b>	est Met
Recommendations/components of an energy audit have been applied. The audit addressed equipment and facilities on the farm. For example, energy loss from lighting, drying, refrigeration, heating, or building insulation have been improved.	Yes	No 🗌



**Conservation Activity Evaluation Tool** 

CONSERVATION STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM

#### <u>CSP-2017-1\_VT - 2017 Forestry\_Associated Ag Land</u> <u>Farming/Ranching Practices and Field Operations</u>

Planning Criteria	Planning Crit	eria Met
Screening level: Client is not interested in improving equipment and facilities energy efficiency. Assessment level: Major components of a USDA approved energy audit have been implemented that address equipment and facilities to meet client objectives OR On-farm renewable energy and/or energy conserving practices have been implemented to meet client objectives.	Yes	No
<b>Evaluation Tests</b>	<b>Evaluation Te</b>	est Met
An irrigation water management plan is followed that: -meets the crop's needs, while maximizing irrigation water efficiency, -schedules water application based on soil moisture monitoring and/or evapotranspiration monitoring, -measures and records the amount of water you use to irrigate as it comes onto the farm and goes to each field, AND -the system's distribution uniformity has been evaluated and necessary changes were made.	Yes	No
Recommendations/components of an energy audit have been applied. The audit addressed equipment and facilities on the farm. For example, energy loss from lighting, drying, refrigeration, heating, or building insulation have been improved.	Yes	No